

**Committee for Risk Assessment
(RAC)**

Annex
Records

of the targeted public consultation on the

**developmental toxicity of N-carboxymethyliminobis
(ethylenenitrilo)tetra(acetic acid) (DTPA) and its
pentasodium and pentapotassium salts
EC Number: 200-652-8**

A77-O-0000006841-72-01/F

**Adopted
11 June 2020**

COMMENTS AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON ART. 77(3): PROPOSAL AND JUSTIFICATION

Comments provided during consultation are made available in this table as submitted by the webform. Please note that the comments displayed below may have been accompanied by attachments which are not published in this table.

ECHA accepts no responsibility or liability for the content of this table.

Last data extracted on 24.04.2020

**Substance name: N-carboxymethyliminobis(ethylenitrilo)tetra(acetic acid) [1];
 Pentapotassium 2,2',2'',2''',2''''-(ethane-1,2-diyl)nitriilo)pentaacetate [2];
 Pentasodium (carboxylatomethyl)iminobis(ethylenitrilo)tetraacetate [3]
 CAS number: 67-43-6 [1]; 7216-95-7 [2]; 140-01-2 [3]
 EC number: 200-652-8 [1]; 404-290-3 [2]; 205-391-3 [3]**

TOXICITY TO REPRODUCTION

Date	Country	Organisation	Type of Organisation	Comment number
21.04.2020	Sweden		Member State	1
Comment received				
<p>In reaction to the two questions posed in the current targeted consultation on the harmonised classification of the three DTPA:s the SE CA would like to give the following responses:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. based on the information provided by BASF, Dow and Nouryon the current RAC opinions on the classification for reproductive toxicity of these substances as Repr. 1B H360D should not be revised. 2. setting a higher specific concentration limit for the DTPA:s appears justified. 				
RAC's response				
<p>Thank you for your comments. RAC agrees that the information submitted by BASF, Dow and Nouryon should not lead to revision of the RAC conclusion from 2017 of Repr. 1B; H360D, and that a higher SCL is justified.</p>				