

RAC WG/CLH/R/11/2023

25 October 2023

**Report
of the 11th Meeting of the Committee for Risk Assessment
Working Group on Harmonised Classification and Labelling
(RAC-67 CLH WG)**

**ECHA Conference Centre (Telakkakatu 6, Helsinki)
via Webex**

**Monday 23 October 2023 (14.00)
to
Wednesday 25 October (15.30)**

Summary Record of the Proceedings

1. Welcome and apologies

The Chair of RAC, Roberto Scazzola, welcomed the participants to the 11th meeting of the RAC Working Group on CLH.

He informed that he would co-chair the meeting jointly with Stine Husa, Ricardo Simoes and Simon Uphill. Written consultations were organised on all dossiers prior to the working group meeting for RAC-67.

2. Adoption of the Agenda

The Chair reviewed the agenda for the meeting (RAC WG/CLH/A/11/2023), which was adopted with no modification (see Annex I).

3. Declarations of conflicts of interests to the Agenda

The Chair and the co-chairs declared no potential conflicts with the adopted agenda and invited all participants to declare any potential conflicts of interest. Declaration of potential conflict of interest on cases scheduled for the discussion are provided in Annex III to this Report.

4. Harmonised classification and labelling (CLH)

4.1 Hazard classes to be proposed by the group for agreement (without plenary debate) by A-listing at RAC-67

The Working Group agreed to propose the following hazard classes to RAC-67 for A-listing (without discussing them in the WG) based on the written comments received from members during the consultation:

- **Flazasulfuron (ISO):** acute toxicity (oral, dermal, inhalation), skin irritation, eye irritation, skin sensitisation, mutagenicity, carcinogenicity, aspiration hazard, aquatic hazards, hazard to the ozone layer
- **Fosthiazate (ISO):** acute toxicity, serious eye damage/eye irritation, aquatic hazards
- **Reactive Brown 51:** reproductive toxicity
- **Metyltetraprole (ISO):** skin irritation, serious eye damage/eye irritation, skin sensitisation, mutagenicity, STOT RE, aquatic hazards, hazard to the ozone layer
- **Methacrylic acid, monoester with propane-1,2-diol; [HPMA]:** serious eye damage/eye irritation
- **4-phenylbenzophenone:** skin sensitisation, reproductive toxicity (developmental toxicity and effects on or via lactation only), aquatic hazards
- **Melaleuca alternifolia, ext. [1] Melaleuca alternifolia, essential oil; tea tree oil [2]:** acute toxicity, skin irritation, serious eye damage/eye irritation, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, STOT RE
- **Penconazole (ISO):** acute toxicity, skin irritation, serious eye damage/eye irritation, skin sensitisation, mutagenicity, STOT SE, aquatic hazards

4.2 Hazard classes for discussion

4.2.1. Flazasulfuron (ISO); 1-(4,6-dimethoxypyrimidin-2-yl)-3-(3-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridylsulfonyl)urea (EC -; CAS 104040-78-0)

The co-Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter representative and an expert accompanying regular stakeholder, and provided some general information on the uses of **flazasulfuron (ISO)**, existing harmonized classification, proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (ES) and legal deadline.

Explosives, flammable solids, self-reactive substances, pyrophoric solids, self-heating substances, substances which in contact with water emit flammable gases, oxidising solids, organic peroxides, corrosive to metals, acute toxicity, skin corrosion/irritation, serious eye damage/eye irritation, skin sensitization, germ cell mutagenicity, carcinogenicity, reproductive toxicity, STOT SE, STOT RE, aspiration hazard, aquatic hazards and hazards for the ozone layer were the hazard classes open for comments during the Consultation.

The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions.

The expert accompanying the CropLife Regular Stakeholder Observer commented on STOT SE, STOT RE and developmental toxicity.

The WG recommends to:

- To discuss at RAC-67 the following classification: **STOT RE (liver, thymus, skeletal muscle)** (preliminary conclusions **no classification** on liver due to inconclusive data and **STOT RE 2; H373 (skeletal muscle)** and **Reproductive toxicity: development** (preliminary conclusions for Repr. 2; H361d based on the available data).

The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:

- **Physical hazard** – no classification (self-reactive substances not classified due to lack of data).
- **Acute toxicity (oral, dermal, inhalation)** – no classification
- **Skin irritation** – no classification
- **Eye irritation** – no classification
- **Skin sensitisation** – no classification
- **Germ cell mutagenicity** – no classification
- **Carcinogenicity** – no classification
- **Reproductive toxicity:**
 - **Fertility** – no classification based on the available data
 - **Lactation** – no classification based on the available data
- **STOT SE** – no classification; the observed effects do not satisfy the criteria
- **STOT RE (kidney)** – no classification based on the available data
- **Aspiration hazard** – no classification
- **Aquatic toxicity** – Aquatic Acute 1, H400, (M=1000) and Aquatic Chronic 1, H410, (M=100)
- **Hazard to the ozone layer** – no classification

Rapporteur to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.

DS/STO to provide the missing part of the full study report (90-day dog study).

SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.

The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: STOT RE (liver, thymus, skeletal muscle), reproductive toxicity (development).

4.2.2. **Fosthiazate (ISO); S-sec-butyl O-ethyl (2-oxo-1,3-thiazolidin-3-yl)phosphonothioate** (EC -; CAS 98886-44-3)

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter representatives and an expert accompanying regular stakeholder, and provided some general information on the uses of **fosthiazate (ISO)**, existing harmonized classification, proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (DE) and legal deadline.

Physical hazards, acute toxicity via all routes, serious eye damage/eye irritation, reproductive toxicity, STOT SE, STOT RE, and aquatic hazards were the hazard classes open for comments during the Consultation.

The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions.

The CropLife Regular Stakeholder Observer commented on reproductive toxicity, STOT SE and STOT RE. The expert accompanying CropLife commented on reproductive toxicity.

The WG recommends to:

- Continue the discussion on **STOT SE for nervous system** including the proposal for **SCLs** and **STOT RE for both nervous system and adrenals** at RAC-67.

The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:

- **Physical hazards** – No classification
- **Acute inhalation toxicity** - Acute Tox. 3; H331 (ATE=0,56 mg/L (dusts/mists))
- **Acute oral toxicity** - Acute Tox. 3; H301 (ATE=57 mg/kg bw)
- **Acute dermal toxicity** - Acute Tox. 3; H311 (ATE=860 mg/kg bw)
- **Serious eye damage/eye irritation** - Eye Irrit. 2; H319
- **STOT RE** – No classification for haematological changes
- **Reproductive toxicity:**
 - **Development** – Repr. 1B; H360D
 - **Fertility** - Repr. 2; H361f
 - **Lactation** – Lact.; H362
- **Aquatic toxicity** - Aquatic Acute 1; H400 (M=1) and Aquatic Chronic 1; H410 (M=1)

Rapporteurs to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.

The RAC Chair considered it relevant to finalise the discussion on reproductive toxicity (development) at RAC-67.

SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.

The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: STOT SE (nervous system), including SCLs, and STOT RE for both nervous system and adrenals, Reproductive toxicity development.

4.2.3. **Tetra(sodium/potassium)7-[(E)-{2-acetamido-4-[(E)-(4-{[4-chloro-6-({2-[(4-fluoro-6-{[4-(vinylsulfonyl)phenyl]amino}-1,3,5-triazine-2-yl)amino]propyl} amino)-1,3,5-triazine-2-yl]amino}-5-sulfonato-1-naphthyl)diazenyl]-5-methoxyphenyl}diazenyl]-1,3,6-naphthalenetrisulfonate; [substance having a complex composition with <80% of the above constituents and other reaction side products]; Reactive Brown 51** (EC 466-490-7; CAS -)

The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter representative and provided some general information on the uses of **Reactive Brown 51**, existing harmonized classification, proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (SE) and legal deadline.

<p>Reproductive toxicity and skin sensitisation were the hazard classes open for comments during the Consultation.</p> <p>The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions.</p>	
<p>The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Skin sensitisation – Skin Sens. 1A; H317 based on the results of an LLNA test (OECD TG 429 (GLP)) • Reproductive toxicity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fertility – Repr. 1B; H360F ○ Development – no classification ○ Lactation – no classification 	<p>Rapporteur to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.</p> <p>SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.</p> <p>The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: none.</p>
<p>4.2.4. Metiltetraprole (ISO);1-[2-({[1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-pyrazol-3-yl]oxy}methyl)-3-methylphenyl]-4-methyl-1,4-dihydro-5H-tetrazol-5-one (EC - ; CAS 1472649-01-6)</p>	
<p>The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter representatives and an expert accompanying regular stakeholder, and provided some general information on the uses of metiltetraprole (ISO), proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (FR) and legal deadline.</p> <p>All relevant hazard classes were open for comments during the Consultation.</p> <p>The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions.</p> <p>The expert accompanying the CropLife Regular Stakeholder Observer commented on acute toxicity and carcinogenicity.</p>	
<p>The WG recommends to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue the discussion on carcinogenicity at RAC-67 (for preliminary conclusion), with the view to have a final agreement at RAC-68 in March 2024 (in order to consider the new information to be submitted by Industry on carcinogenicity by the end of 2023). In consistency with past approaches and existing guidance, WG agreed that historical control data from the last five years (together with concurrent control data) should be used for the assessment of carcinogenicity. <p>The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical hazards – No classification (the Rapporteur was asked to update in the revised 	<p>Rapporteurs to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.</p> <p>SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.</p> <p>The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: carcinogenicity.</p>

<p>opinion the reason for no classification for explosives and self-reactive substance in line with the discussion – inconclusive data)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acute toxicity via all routes – No classification (the Rapporteur was asked to include in the revised opinion more details on the dosing in the suspension solution of the studies and check against test guidelines) • Skin corrosion/irritation – No classification • Serious eye damage/eye irritation – No classification • Respiratory sensitisation – No classification • Skin sensitisation – No classification • STOT SE – No classification (the Rapporteur was asked to add in the revised opinion about lack of dose response and transient nature of the findings as basis for NC) • STOT RE – No classification • Germ cell mutagenicity – No classification • Reproductive toxicity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fertility – No classification ○ Development – No classification ○ Lactation – No classification • Aquatic toxicity – Aquatic Acute 1; H400 (M=10) and Aquatic Chronic 1; H410 (M=1) • Hazard to the ozone layer – No classification 	
<p>4.2.5. Methacrylic acid, monoester with propane-1,2-diol; [HPMA] (EC 248-666-3; CAS 27813-02-1)</p>	
<p>The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter representatives and an expert accompanying regular stakeholder, and provided some general information on the uses of methacrylic acid (HPMA), proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (FR) and legal deadline. STOT SE, serious eye damage/eye irritation, respiratory sensitisation and skin sensitisation were the hazard classes open for comments during the Consultation. The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions. The expert accompanying the CEFIC Regular Stakeholder Observer commented on skin sensitisation, respiratory sensitisation, STOT SE and Note D.</p>	
<p>The WG recommends to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalise the discussion on the SCL for STOT SE 3, H335, at RAC-67. <p>The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:</p>	<p>Rapporteur to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serious eye damage/eye irritation – Eye Irrit. 2; H319 • Skin sensitisation – Skin Sens. 1; H317, with a GCL of 1% • Respiratory sensitisation – No classification due to inconclusive data (contrary to the DS proposal for Resp. Sens. 1; H334), as the WG considered the available data insufficient for classification of HPMa for respiratory sensitisation according to the CLP criteria. • STOT SE – STOT SE 3; H335 • Note D 	<p>SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.</p> <p>The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: SCL for STOT SE 3, H335.</p>
<p>4.2.6. 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate; [HEMA] (EC 212-782-2; CAS 868-77-9)</p>	
<p>The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter representatives and an expert accompanying regular stakeholder, and provided some general information on the uses of 2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA), existing classification, proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (FR) and legal deadline.</p> <p>STOT SE and respiratory sensitisation were the hazard classes open for comments during the Consultation.</p> <p>The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions.</p> <p>The expert accompanying the CEFIC Regular Stakeholder Observer commented on respiratory sensitisation and STOT SE.</p>	
<p>The WG recommends to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalise at RAC-67 the discussion on the SCL for STOT SE 3, H335. <p>The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respiratory sensitisation – No classification due to inconclusive data (contrary to the DS proposal for Resp. Sens. 1; H334), as the WG considered the available data insufficient for classification of HEMA for respiratory sensitisation according to the CLP criteria. • STOT SE – STOT SE 3; H335 	<p>Rapporteur to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.</p> <p>SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.</p> <p>The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: SCL for STOT SE 3, H335.</p>
<p>4.2.7. 4-phenylbenzophenone (EC 218-345-2; CAS 2128-93-0)</p>	
<p>The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter representative and provided some general information on the uses of 4-phenylbenzophenone, existing harmonized classification, proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (DE) and legal deadline.</p>	

<p>Skin sensitisation, reproductive toxicity and hazards to the aquatic environment were the hazard classes open for comments during the Consultation.</p> <p>The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions.</p>	
<p>The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Skin sensitisation – Skin Sens. 1B; H317 • Reproductive toxicity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fertility - Repr. 1B; H360F based on decrease of the number of implantation sites, and a reduced fertility index as a supporting evidence. ○ Development – Repr. 1B; H360D ○ Lactation – No classification • Aquatic classification – Aquatic Acute 1; H400 (M=10) and Aquatic Chronic 1; H410 (M=1) 	<p>Rapporteurs to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.</p> <p>SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.</p> <p>The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: none.</p>
<p>4.2.8. Melaleuca alternifolia, ext. [1] Melaleuca alternifolia, essential oil; tea tree oil [2] (EC 285-377-1 [1]; CAS 85085-48-9 [1] CAS 68647-73-4 [2])</p>	
<p>The Chair welcomed the occasional stakeholder representatives (IFRA and EFEO) with their accompanying experts. He then provided some general information on the uses of tea tree oil, proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (PL) and legal deadline.</p> <p>All relevant hazard classes, except for respiratory sensitisation and hazard to the ozone layer, were open for comments during the Consultation.</p> <p>The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions.</p> <p>The expert accompanying the IFRA Occasional Stakeholder Observer and the expert accompanying the EFEO Occasional Stakeholder Observer commented on reproductive toxicity. The expert accompanying the IFRA Occasional Stakeholder Observer commented on aquatic toxicity.</p>	
<p>The WG recommends to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalise the discussion on STOT SE 1/2 (nervous system) and/or 3 (narcotic effects). at RAC-67. • Finalise the discussion on Repr. 1B H360Fd (which was agreed by the WG provisionally) at RAC-67. • Continue the discussion on the aquatic hazards at RAC-67 (Aquatic Acute 1; H400 (M=1) and Aquatic Chronic 3; H412). <p>The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:</p>	<p>Rapporteurs to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.</p> <p>SECR to organise a RAC consultation on the ENV part of the RAC opinion.</p> <p>SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical hazards – Flam. Liq. 3; H226 and No classification for the other hazard classes considered • Aspiration hazard – Asp. Tox. 1; H304 • Acute oral toxicity – Acute Tox. 4; H302 (ATE=1050 mg/kg bw) • Acute inhalation toxicity – Acute Tox. 4; H332 (ATE=3.60 mg/L (dusts/mists)) • Acute dermal toxicity – No classification • Skin corrosion/irritation – Skin Irrit. 2; H315 • Serious eye damage/eye irritation - No classification • Skin sensitisation – Skin Sens. 1B; H317 • STOT RE – No classification • Germ cell mutagenicity – No classification • Carcinogenicity – No classification • Reproductive toxicity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Lactation – No classification 	<p>The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: STOT SE (nervous system and/or narcotic effects), reproductive toxicity (fertility and development) and aquatic toxicity.</p>
<p>4.2.9. Penconazole (ISO); 1-[2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)pentyl]-1H-1,2,4-triazole (EC 266-275-6; CAS 66246-88-6)</p>	
<p>The Chair welcomed the Dossier Submitter representative and an expert accompanying a regular stakeholder, and provided some general information on the uses of penconazole (ISO), existing harmonized classification, proposed classification by the Dossier Submitter (No) and legal deadline.</p> <p>All relevant hazard classes, except for respiratory sensitisation and aspiration hazard, were open for comments during the Consultation.</p> <p>The Working Group discussed the proposed hazard classes and reached the following conclusions.</p> <p>The expert accompanying the CropLife Regular Stakeholder Observer commented on reproductive toxicity.</p>	
<p>The WG recommends A-listing at RAC-67 the following classification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical hazards – No classification • Acute oral toxicity – Acute Tox. 4; H302 (ATE=970 mg/kg bw) • Acute inhalation toxicity – No classification • Acute dermal toxicity – No classification • Skin corrosion/irritation – No classification • Serious eye damage/eye irritation - No classification • Skin sensitisation – No classification • STOT SE – No classification • STOT RE – STOT RE 2; H373 (liver) 	<p>Rapporteurs to revise the opinion in accordance with the discussion in the Working Group and to provide it to SECR.</p> <p>SECR to table the updated opinion for adoption at RAC-67.</p> <p>The hazard classes going for plenary discussion: none.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Germ cell mutagenicity – No classification • Carcinogenicity – No classification • Reproductive toxicity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fertility – No classification (the Rapporteur was asked to examine data gaps regarding effects on testis and sperm in the opinion) ○ Development – Repr. 2; H361d ○ Lactation – No classification • Aquatic toxicity – Aquatic Acute 1; H400 (M=1) and Aquatic Chronic 1; H410 (M=1) • Hazard to the ozone layer – No classification 	
---	--

5. AOB

No discussion.

6. Adoption of the report from the Working Group

Before the Chair thanked the participants and closed the meeting, the Working Group adopted the report of its 11th Meeting, requesting the Secretariat to make any necessary editorial changes.

Annex I Agenda of the 11th Meeting of the Committee for Risk Assessment Working Group on Harmonised Classification and Labelling

Annex II List of participants

Annex III Declarations of potential conflicts of interest

12 October 2023
RAC WG/A/CLH/11/2023

**11th Meeting of the Committee for Risk Assessment Working Group
on Harmonised Classification and Labelling (RAC-67 CLHWG)**

**Monday 23 October at 14:00 -
Wednesday 25 October ends at 15:30**

Times are Helsinki times
Virtual meeting

Final Agenda

Item 1 – Welcome and Apologies

Item 2 – Adoption of the Agenda

RAC WG/A/CLH/11/2023
For adoption

Item 3 – Declarations of conflicts of interest to the Agenda

Item 4 – Harmonised classification and labelling (CLH)

**4.1. Hazard classes to be proposed for agreement without plenary debate
(A-list) in RAC-67:**

- **Flazasulfuron (ISO):** *acute toxicity (oral, dermal, inhalation), skin irritation, eye irritation, skin sensitisation, mutagenicity, carcinogenicity, aspiration hazard, aquatic hazards, hazard to the ozone layer*
- **Fosthiazate (ISO):** *acute toxicity, serious eye damage/eye irritation, aquatic hazards*
- **Reactive Brown 51:** *reproductive toxicity*
- **Metyltetraprole (ISO):** *skin irritation, serious eye damage/eye irritation, skin sensitisation, mutagenicity, STOT RE, aquatic hazards, hazard to the ozone layer*
- **Methacrylic acid, monoester with propane-1,2-diol; [HPMA]:** *serious eye damage/eye irritation*
- **4-phenylbenzophenone:** *skin sensitisation, reproductive toxicity (developmental toxicity and effects on or via lactation only), aquatic hazards*
- **Melaleuca alternifolia, ext. [1] Melaleuca alternifolia, essential oil; tea tree oil [2]:** *acute toxicity, skin irritation, serious eye damage/eye irritation, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, STOT RE*

- **Penconazole (ISO):** acute toxicity, skin irritation, serious eye damage/eye irritation, skin sensitisation, mutagenicity, STOT SE, aquatic hazards

4.2. CLH dossiers

- 4.2.3. **Flazasulfuron (ISO); 1-(4,6-dimethoxypyrimidin-2-yl)-3-(3-trifluoromethyl-2-pyridylsulfonyl)urea** (EC -; CAS 104040-78-0)
- 4.2.4. **Fosthiazate (ISO); S-sec-butyl O-ethyl (2-oxo-1,3-thiazolidin-3-yl)phosphonothioate** (EC -; CAS 98886-44-3)
- 4.2.5. **Tetra(sodium/potassium)7-[(E)-{2-acetamido-4-[(E)-(4-{[4-chloro-6-({2-[(4-fluoro-6-{[4-(vinylsulfonyl)phenyl]amino}-1,3,5-triazine-2-yl)amino]propyl} amino)-1,3,5-triazine-2-yl]amino}-5-sulfonato-1-naphthyl)diazenyl]-5-methoxyphenyl}diazenyl]-1,3,6-naphthalenetrisulfonate; [substance having a complex composition with <80% of the above constituents and other reaction side products]; Reactive Brown 51** (EC 466-490-7; CAS -)
- 4.2.6. **Metiltetraprole (ISO);1-[2-({[1-(4-chlorophenyl)-1H-pyrazol-3-yl]oxy}methyl)-3-methylphenyl]-4-methyl-1,4-dihydro-5H-tetrazol-5-one** (EC - ; CAS 1472649-01-6)
- 4.2.7. **Methacrylic acid, monoester with propane-1,2-diol; [HPMA]** (EC 248-666-3; CAS 27813-02-1)
- 4.2.8. **2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate; [HEMA]** (EC 212-782-2; CAS 868-77-9)
- 4.2.9. **4-phenylbenzophenone** (EC 218-345-2; CAS 2128-93-0)
- 4.2.10. **Melaleuca alternifolia, ext. [1] Melaleuca alternifolia, essential oil; tea tree oil [2]** (EC 285-377-1 [1]; CAS 85085-48-9 [1] CAS 68647-73-4 [2])
- 4.2.11. **Penconazole (ISO); 1-[2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)pentyl]-1H-1,2,4-triazole** (EC 266-275-6; CAS 66246-88-6)

For discussion

Item 5 – AOB

Item 6 – Adoption of the Report from the WG

For discussion and agreement

ANNEX II: List of participants

RAC members	
Angeli	Karine
Aquilina	Gabriele
Barański	Bogusław
Biró	Anna
Docea	Anca
Esposito	Dania
Facchin	Manuel
Fernandez	Mariana F.
Geoffroy	Laure
Hakkert	Betty
Kadikis	Normunds
Karadjova	Irina
Landvik Tekpli	Nina
Leinonen	Riitta
Losert	Annemarie
Lund	Bert-Ove
Martínek	Michal
Menard Srpčič	Anja
Mendas Starcevic	Gordana
Moeller	Ruth
Murray	Brendan
Neumann	Michael
Pęczkowska	Beata
Piña	Benjamin
Pribu	Mihaela
Rakkestad	Kirsten Eline
Rodriguez	Wendy
Schulte	Agnes
Schuur	Gerlienke
Sørensen	Peter Hammer
Spetseris	Nikos
Tobiassen	Lea Stine
Tsitsimpikou	Christina
Užomeckas	Žilvinas

Members' advisers	
Bjørge Christine	Kirsten E. Rakkestad
Capolupo Marco	Dania Esposito
Catone Tiziana	Aquilina Gabriele
Hoffmann Frauke	Schulte Agnes
McCann Andrew	Murray Brendan
Moilanen Marianne	Leinonen Riitta
Russo Maria Teresa	Aquilina Gabriele

Saksa Jana	Moldov Raili
Suutari Tiina	Riitta Leinonen
van Herwijnen Rene	Hakkert Betty
Woutersen Marjolijn	Gerlienke Schuur

Dossier submitters	Substance
Kucheryavenko Olena	4-phenylbenzophenone
Sanz Manuel	Flazasulfuron
Gall Andrea	Fosthiazate
Heise Tanja	Fosthiazate
Willenbockel Christian Tobias	Fosthiazate
Charles Sandrine	HEMA / HPMA
Mateus Alice	HEMA / HPMA
Desprez Bertrand	Metyltetraprole
FELIX Christophe	Metyltetraprole
Skarsjø Mathilde Hauge	Penconazole
Borg Daniel	Reactive Brown 51

Regular stakeholder observers	
De Backer Liisi	Cefic
Ruelens Paul	CropLife Europe

Occasional stakeholder observers	
Dvorakova Dana	International Fragrance Association (IFRA)
Maja Zippel	European Federation of Essential Oils (EFEO)

Stakeholder experts		Substance
Fukunaga Satoki	CropLife Europe	Metyltetraprole
Natsch Andreas	IFRA	Tea tree oil
Nielsen Jesper Bo	EFEO	Tea tree oil
Pemberton Mark	Cefic	HPMA + HEMA
Samuels Scott	CropLife Europe	Fosthiazate
Soufi Maria	CropLife Europe	Penconazole
Stein Jürgen	CropLife Europe	Flazasulfuron

ECHA staff	
Scazzolo (Chair of RAC)	Roberto
Husa (Co-chair)	Stine
Simoes (Co-chair)	Ricardo
Uphill (Co-chair)	Simon
Arnaudova	Ralica
Bichlmaier	Bohumila
Hellsten	Kati
Korjus	Pia
Lapenna	Silvia
Ludboržs	Arnis
Myöhänen	Kirsi

Nygren	Jonas
O'Rourke	Regina
Perazzolo	Chiara
Prevedouros	Kostas
Sadam	Diana
Sobanska	Marta
Spjuth	Linda
Marchetto	Flavio
Mattiuzzo	Marco
Sihvola	Virve
Sosnowski	Piotr

ANNEX III (RAC-67CLHWG-1)

The following participants, including those for whom the Chairman declared the interest on their behalf, declared potential conflicts of interest with the Agenda items (according to Art 9 (2) of RAC RoPs)

AP/Dossier / DS	RAC Member	Reason for potential CoI / Working for
NEW DOSSIERS		
Harmonised classification & labelling		
Tea tree oil PL	Boguslaw BARANSKI	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. Personal involvement.
	Beata PECZKOWSKA	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. Personal involvement.
1) Metyltetraprole (ISO); 2) HPMA; 3) HEMA FR	Karine ANGELI	Working for the CA submitting the dossiers; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.
	Laure GEOFFROY	Working for the CA submitting the dossiers; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.

AP/Dossier / DS	RAC Member	Reason for potential CoI / Working for
Reactive Brown 51 SE	Bert-Ove LUND	Working for the CA submitting the dossiers; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.
Flazasulfuron (ISO) ES	Benjamin PINA	Working for the CA submitting the dossiers; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.
	Marieta FERNANDEZ	Working for the CA submitting the dossiers; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.
Penconazole (ISO) NO	Kirsten RAKKESTAD	Working for the CA submitting the dossiers; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.
	Nina TEKPLI	Working for the CA submitting the dossiers; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.
1) Fosthiazate (ISO); 2) 4-phenylbenzophenone	Agnes SCHULTE	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. Personal involvement in no 2.

AP/Dossier / DS	RAC Member	Reason for potential CoI / Working for
DE	Michael NEUMANN	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.
	Urs SCHLUETER	Working for the CA submitting the dossier; asked to refrain from voting in the event of a vote on this substance - no other mitigation measures applied. No personal involvement.